IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

KELECHI S. OKERE,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-CV-2318-X-BK
	§	
DALLAS COUNTY DEMOCRATIC	§	
PARTY,	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONOF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The Court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to comply with a court order and for want of prosecution. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b).

The Court prospectively **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith.¹ In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and

¹ See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3).

Recommendation.² Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous.³ In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.⁴

SO ORDERED this 1st day of June, 2020.

BRANTLEY STARR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $^{^{2}}$ See Baugh v. Taylor, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997).

³ Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983).

⁴ See Baugh, 117 F.3d at 202; Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).